# NSSE 2019 (The National Survey of Student Engagement)

# The University of Tennessee, Knoxville Observations

Office of Institutional Research and Assessment September 2019 The National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) is a survey of first year and senior students, focusing on what institutions and their students do to foster engagement on campus. The survey has been administered for twenty years and over 1500 institutions have participated over that time, including 531 in 2019. NSSE reports to an individual institution include selected comparison groups such as Carnegie Class, as well as an average of all participating NSSE institutions for the past two administrations. Our analysis will focus only on our Carnegie Class group (Research I) because those schools share the same institutional mission as the University of Tennessee, Knoxville. Some of our peers participated in the 2019 survey (Auburn University, Clemson University, Louisiana State University Indiana University, and the University of Kentucky).

NSSE compares an individual institution's mean scores with those of the comparison group. When the differences between those mean scores are larger than would be expected by chance, they are noted as statistically significant.<sup>1</sup> When NSSE identifies an institution's score that is statistically significant, an *effect size* is then calculated. According to NSSE, the "effect size indicates practical significance" when statistical significance has been established. An effect size of .2 is considered small, .5 is moderate and .8 is large. Only seven of UTK's items had an effect size of .2 or above, the rest were well below, which means that the practical significance of the differences is small.

NSSE provides institutions with a lot of feedback. Currently there are 86 items along with over 19 demographic questions. (The instrument has gone through one major revision in 2013 along with a few minor changes in its 20 years.) In order to facilitate an easier understanding of all that data, NSSE developed "Benchmarks" in 2001, which transitioned into "Engagement Indicators" with the revised 2013 survey. Benchmarks gave institutions an easier way to report data to interested parties on their campuses, but as NSSE's understanding of student engagement grew, the researchers behind the survey determined that categorizing the data into Engagement Indicators was more useful. Engagement Indicators may be an improvement over Benchmarks, but institutions have found it difficult to compare data from prior to 2013 with later data. And since the University of Tennessee, Knoxville has participated in NSSE since 2000, it is helpful to have a way to use all of the data collected.

The thing that has remained constant with NSSE is that the survey is designed to look at what students do as well as what institutions do to create an environment where student engagement occurs. This study takes the questions from NSSE and separates them into three categories: 1) items looking at what students do when it comes to ideal engagement practices, 2) items examining what institutions do to promote ideal engagement practices, and 3) items that look at practices that require involvement on the part of both the student and the institution. This method helps condense the 86 items into three categories and narrows the focus on where issues with student engagement occur and which part of the equation contributes to good (or bad) engagement practices.

#### A note on how NSSE is administered

NSSE administers the survey based on a population file submitted by the institution, and offers to send it to either a sample of students or to all eligible students. For several years UTK administered NSSE along with other surveys such as the THEC Enrolled Student Survey and the Noel Levitz Student Satisfaction Inventory, so a sample was used to avoid asking students to complete more than one survey. Better spacing of surveys means that a census administration of all first year and senior students is now

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NSSE calculates statistical significance at three levels (p<.05, p<.01 and p<.001). For the purposes of this review, any item where the mean differences were determined to be statistically significant was included, regardless of the level of significance.

possible. The response rate for the census administration has been low (10% for both first year students as well as seniors). However, the frequencies and means have remained close to those for years when a sample was used and the response rate was higher. The demographic breakdown of NSSE respondents for the 2019 survey is below:

	First	t-ye	ear	Se	nic	or
	Respondent %		Population %	Respondent %		Population %
Female	66		52	62		50
Male	34		48	38		50
Race/ethnicity						
Asian	3		4	2		4
Black or African American	6		6	4		5
Hispanic or Latino	6		5	3		4
White	77		77	81		79
Two or more races/ethnicities	4		4	3		3
Unknown	3		3	6		5

#### First Year Students:

#### What Students Do:

There are thirty seven items looking at effective engagement practices of students, and first year students compare favorably to peers at similar schools. Below are items where there was a statistically significant difference between first year students at UTK and those at other participating Research I institutions:

First year students engage in the following behaviors at higher rates than peers at similar institutions:

- Asking another student to help you understand course material
- Explaining course material to one or more students
- Working with other students on course projects or assignments
- Giving a course presentation
- Combining ideas from different courses when completing assignments
- Having discussions with people from an economic background other that your own
- Having discussions with people with a political views other than your own
- Spending more time preparing for class

First year students rate the following items **lower than** their peers at similar institutions:

- Preparing two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it in
- Time spent preparing for class on assigned reading
- Working for pay off campus (including the estimated number of hours working for pay)
- Doing community service or volunteer work
- Providing care for dependents (children, parents, etc.)
- Commuting to campus (driving, walking, etc.)

#### What Institutions Do:

There are twenty-three items on the NSSE that look at specifically what the institution does to encourage student engagement. First year students are also in line with their peers when it comes to their opinion of what the institution provides to help foster engagement. The gap between UTK and peers was noted as statistically significant for the following items:

Compared to peers at similar institutions, first year students rate UTK as an institution <u>higher</u> in the following areas:

- Emphasis from the institution on spending significant amounts of time studying and on academic work
- Emphasis from the institution on attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.)

And **lower** in the following areas:

- Assigned papers of 11 pages or more
- Emphasis from the institution on encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious, etc.)
- Emphasis from the institution on providing support for students' overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.)
- Emphasis from the institution on helping students manage non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)<sup>2</sup>

#### What Students and the Institution Do:

There are thirty items that ask about interactions between students and the institution. These interactions refer to opportunities or experiences offered by the institution that a student can participate in.

First year students at UTK rate the following student/institution interactions <u>higher</u> than students at similar institutions:

- Participating in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together
- The quality of their interactions with academic advisors.<sup>3</sup>

First year students at UTK rate the following student/institution interactions **lower** than students at similar institutions:

- Experience at the institution contributing to your knowledge, skills and personal development in understanding people of other backgrounds (economic, racial/ethnic, political, religious, nationality, etc.)
- Experience at the institution contributing to your knowledge, skills and personal development in being an informed and active citizen.

The University of Tennessee, Knoxville is very similar to other Carnegie I institutions in terms of student engagement and first year students. Our students engage in many of the same behaviors as students at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is interesting because our students are less likely than students at similar institutions to work off campus and report less family responsibilities than students at similar institutions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This is an improvement, it was rated below other Carnegie I institutions for many years.

other institutions, the institutional practices and offerings do not differ from similar institutions, and the student/institution interaction does not fall outside the range of what other NSSE participants are doing. When differences cannot be attributed simply to chance and are deemed statistically significant, the calculated effect size shows that the practical significance of those differences is small. Administrators and faculty may want to take a look at some areas, but *there do not appear to be major areas of concern.* 

#### **Seniors**

Before looking at NSSE data for senior students, it is important to emphasize something that is counterintuitive. *Seniors will be less engaged than first year students.* While they may have been exposed to many more practices and opportunities that would encourage student engagement, they are at the end of their undergraduate academic careers. Their group of friends is set, they are enrolled in the most specialized courses for their majors and are looking ahead to post-college life rather than what happened in the previous four or five years. NSSE does not measure the process of student engagement from freshman to senior. Comparing senior responses to those of first year students is not good practice.

#### What Students Do:

Senior students engage in the following behaviors **more** than students at similar institutions:

- Asking another student to help you understand course material
- Given a course presentation
- Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments
- Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from their perspective
- Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge
- Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)
- Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information
- Identifying key information from reading assignments
- Having discussions with people from an economic background other than your own
- Having discussions with people with religious beliefs other than your own
- Having discussions with people with political views other than your own

Senior students engage in the following items less frequently than their peers at similar institutions:

- Working for pay off campus (including the estimated number of hours working for pay)
- Doing community service of volunteer work
- Providing care for dependents (children, parents, etc.)
- Commuting to campus (driving, walking, etc.)

As you may notice, this list is very similar to the First Year version. And while it is not advisable to compare First Year and Senior responses within the same survey, it would be beneficial to look at university initiatives that may have led to the behaviors reported by both groups.

#### What Institutions Do:

Compared to peers at similar institutions, senior students rate UTK's efforts as an institution **above** similar schools in the following areas:

- Instructors using examples or illustrations to explain difficult points
- Emphasis from the institution on attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.)

Senior students rate UTK's efforts as an institution **below** similar schools in the following areas:

- Assigned papers between 6 and 10 pages
- Assigned papers of 11 pages or more
- Estimated number of assigned pages of student writing
- Emphasis from the institution on providing support for students' overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.)
- Emphasis from the institution on helping students manage non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)<sup>4</sup>

#### What Students and the Institution Do:

Seniors at UTK rate the following student/institution interactions <u>higher</u> than students at similar institutions:

- Talking about career plans with a faculty member
- Working with a faculty member on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)
- Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class
- Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member
- Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement
- Work with a faculty member on a research project
- Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.)
- Quality of interaction with student services staff (career services, student activities, housing, etc.)
- Quality of interaction with administrative staff and offices (registrar, financial aid, etc.)
- Experience at the institution contributing to writing clearly and effectively
- Experience at the institution contributing to speaking clearly and effectively
- Experience at the institution contributing to thinking critically and effectively

#### **Conclusion**

It is important to note again that all of the effect size calculations note that the practical significance of these differences is small. But there are some interesting observations. Most significantly, for many years seniors rated the cluster of variables regarding how their time at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville "contributed to your knowledge, skills and personal development" below students at other Carnegie I institutions. *That has changed to the point that many of these variables are rated above students at similar schools.* This is a positive development that deserves a deeper look.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> As with First Year Students, this is interesting because our students are less likely than students at similar institutions to work off campus and report less family responsibilities than students at similar institutions.

Given the response rate issues, NSSE can be used to screen developments related to engagement and this seems like an optimal time to dig deeper in order to document what happened to affect the change so that the positive momentum can continue. The administration of the Beginning College Survey of Student Engagement (BCSSE) that was given to First Year students the summer before they came to UTK will provide helpful information about their expectations for their freshman year. Another resource would be an analysis of student comments to a question asking them to describe the most significant learning experience they had at this institution. Seniors were also asked to provide feedback on what the institution could have done better to prepare students for their career or further education. The 2019 administration of NSSE is the first time more focused open ended questions were asked, which resulted in more student comments than in previous versions of the survey. This qualitative information may provide additional valuable insight into the quantitative data.

# Appendix A



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students					Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency [	Distributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	ille	Carnegie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie		UT Knoxville	Carnegie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	
Item wording or description	Variable name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>	Count %	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>
1. During the current s													,,			
a. Asked questions or	askquest	1	•	25	4	1,707	5				8 2	1,739	4			
contributed to course		2	Sometimes	249	42	15,997	40				148 33	15,824	31			
discussions in other		3	Often	207	34	14,127	34	2.7	2.7	03	149 32	16,154	31	3.0	3.0	.03
ways		4	Very often	121	20	9,091	21				167 34	17,596	34			
			Total	602	100	40,922	100				472 100	51,313	100			
b. Prepared two or more	drafts	1	Never	158	28	8,069	21				131 29	12,341	25			
drafts of a paper or		2	Sometimes	204	34	14,888	37				167 36	18,762	37			
assignment before		3	Often	142	24	11,236	27	2.2	2.4 **	13	97 21	12,292	24	2.2	2.3	08
turning it in		4	Very often	84	14	6,509	15		$\nabla$		73 15	7,649	15			
			Total	588	100	40,702	100		•		468 100	51,044	100			
c. Come to class without	unpreparedr	1	Very often	39	6	2,499	6				41 8	4,090	8			
completing readings or	(Reverse-coded	2	Often	96	16	6,410	16				75 16	9,120	18			
assignments	version of	3	Sometimes	343	59	23,127	56	2.9	2.9	01	272 58	27,318	53	2.8	2.9	02
	unprepared	4	Never	113	19	8,654	21				80 17	10,508	21			
	created by NSSE.)		Total	591	100	40,690	100				468 100	51,036	100			
d. Attended an art exhibit,	attendart	1	Never	233	41	15,257	39				188 42	21,737	43			
play, or other arts		2	Sometimes	229	38	15,913	39				188 38	19,410	38			
performance (dance, music, etc.)		3	Often	86	14	6,097	14	1.9	1.9	01	57 12	6,270	12	1.9	1.8	.04
		4	Very often	43	7	3,305	8				38 8	3,539	7			
			Total	591	100	40,572	100				471 100	50,956	100			
e. Asked another student	CLaskhelp	1	Never	30	6	2,607	7				42 9	5,889	12			
to help you understand		2	Sometimes	196	33	13,714	35				184 39	19,966	40			
course material		3	Often	194	33	15,381	37	2.8	2.7 **	.13	144 31	16,103	31	2.6	2.5 *	.12
		4	Very often	168	28	8,824	21				99 21	8,952	17			
			Total	588	100	40,526	100				469 100	50,910	100			
f. Explained course	CLexplain	1	Never	12	2	1,335	4				12 2	2,629	5			
material to one or more		2	Sometimes	172	29	13,366	33				136 29	16,448	33			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r <mark>Students</mark>						Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>	Frequenc	y D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxvill	e	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class
Item wording	Variable									Effect							Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
Statemo		3	Often	248	43	17,053	42	2.9	2.8 ***	.16	207	45	20,015	39	2.9	2.8 **	.12
		4	Very often	150	26	8,690	21				113	24	11,758	23			
			Total	582	100	40,444	100				468	100	50,850	100			
g. Prepared for exams by	CLstudy	1	Never	64	11	4,566	12				50	11	7,708	16			
discussing or working		2	Sometimes	175	31	12,936	32				186	40	16,793	34			
through course material with other students		3	Often	191	33	13,421	33	2.7	2.7	.07	138	30	15,067	29	2.6	2.6	.02
with other students		4	Very often	146	25	9,311	22				93	20	11,048	22			
			Total	576	100	40,234	100				467	100	50,616	100			
h. Worked with other	CLproject	1	Never	21	4	2,402	7				26	5	3,274	7			
students on course		2	Sometimes	181	31	14,208	36				129	27	13,394	27			
projects or assignments		3	Often	230	40	15,102	37	2.9	2.7 ***	.18	171	38	18,206	36	2.9	2.9	.01
		4	Very often	141	25	8,326	20				139	29	15,610	31			
			Total	573	100	40,038	100				465	100	50,484	100			
i. Given a course	present	1	Never	94	16	8,557	22				33	7	5,461	11			
presentation		2	Sometimes	240	42	18,078	45				161	34	16,688	34			
		3	Often	164	29	9,271	23	2.4	2.2 ***	.19	138	30	16,203	32	2.8	2.7 **	.12
		4	Very often	72	13	4,066	10		2.2	.17	132	28	12,109	23		2.7	.12
			Total	570	100	39,972	100				464		50,461	100			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students						Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>	Frequence	:y D	istributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxvil	le	Carnegie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class
Item wording or description	Variable name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>
·						count	70	Meun	weun	5120	count	70	count	70	Meun	weun	5120
2. During the current scl a. Combined ideas from	Rlintegrate		Never	30	ng: 5	2,994	8				7	1	1,780	4			
different courses when	Kintegrate	2	Sometimes	213	37	15,451	40				119	26	13,305	27			
completing assignments		3	Often	213	41	15,087	37	2.7	2.6 **	.12	119	42	21,161	42	3.0	2.9 **	.12
		4	Very often	92	17	5,942	15	2.1	2.0	.12	190	31	13,811	27	5.0	2.9	.12
			Total	559	100	39,474	100				457	100	50,057	100			
b. Connected your	RIsocietal	1	Never	43	8	3,456	10				33	8		9			
learning to societal		2	Sometimes	230	43	15,064	39				147	33	16,225	33			
problems or issues		3	Often	187	33	14,372	35	2.6	2.6	.01	157	33	17,952	35	2.8	2.7	.05
		4	Very often	95	16	6,303	15				123	25	11,716	23			
			Total	555	100	39,195	100				460	100	49,849	100			
c. Included diverse	RIdiverse	1	Never	76	15	3,878	12				72	18	7,001	16			
perspectives (political,		2	Sometimes	206	38	14,466	38				157	35	17,351	36			
religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course		3	Often	177	32	13,754	34	2.5	2.5	08	125	27	15,216	30	2.5	2.5	02
discussions or		4	Very often	88	15	6,759	16				100	21	9,954	19			
assignments			Total	547	100	38,857	100				454	100	49,522	100			
d. Examined the strengths	RIownview	1	Never	34	7	1,669	5				27	7	2,514	6			
and weaknesses of your own views on a		2	Sometimes	185	34	12,194	32				119	25	14,851	30			
topic or issue		3	Often	227	41	17,547	45	2.7	2.8	06	189	42	21,555	43	2.9	2.8	.09
		4	Very often	96	18	7,074	18				117	26	10,285	21			
			Total	542	100	38,484	100				452	100	49,205	100			
e. Tried to better	RIperspect	1	Never	19	4	961	3				20	5	1,475	3			
understand someone else's views by		2	Sometimes	139	26	9,981	27				82	18	11,856	25			
imagining how an issue		3	Often	241	46	17,858	46	2.9	2.9	.01	199	44	22,347	45	3.0	2.9 *	.11
looks from their		4	Very often	130	25	9,185	24				146	33	13,062	27			
perspective			Total	529	100	37,985	100				447	100	48,740	100			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yeai	<b>Students</b>					Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency I	Distributi	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie (	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class
Item wording	Variable									Effect						Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values	d Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count %		%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
<ol> <li>Learned something that changed the way you</li> </ol>	RInewview	1	Never	19	4	928	3				12 3	,	3			
understand an issue or		2	Sometimes	157	30	11,197	31				110 25	· · ·	27	2.0		
concept		3	Often	228	45	17,314	45	2.8	2.8	01	173 40	· · ·	45	3.0	2.9	.08
		4	Very often	108	21	8,122	21				143 31		26			
			Total	512	100	37,561	100				438 100	,	100			
g. Connected ideas from	RIconnect	1	Never	6	1	391	1				5 1		1			
your courses to your prior experiences and		2	Sometimes	95	19	7,484	21				63 15	,	17			
knowledge		3	Often	247	49	19,045	51	3.1	3.0	.08	177 41		47	3.2	3.2 *	.11
U		4	Very often	152	30	10,257	27				185 42	16,931	35			
			Total	500	100	37,177	100				430 100	47,896	100			
6. During the current scl	hool year, abo	ut how	often have you doi	ne the followi	ng?											
a. Reached conclusions	QRconclude	1	Never	37	8	3,420	9				32 7	4,514	10			
based on your own		2	Sometimes	160	35	12,648	36				123 29	15,091	33			
analysis of numerical		3	Often	172	38	13,331	38	2.7	2.6	.07	144 38	16,658	37	2.8	2.7 **	.13
information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)		4	Very often	79	19	5,499	16				97 25	9,126	21			
graphs, statistics, etc.)			Total	448	100	34,898	100				396 100	45,389	100			
b. Used numerical	QRproblem	1	Never	99	22	6,954	20				64 16	8,226	18			
information to examine		2	Sometimes	172	39	13,772	39				150 37	16,588	36			
a real-world problem or		3	Often	127	28	10,038	29	2.3	2.3	02	105 28	13,358	29	2.5	2.4	.09
issue (unemployment, climate change, public		4	Very often	51	12	3,949	12				75 20	7,016	16			
health, etc.)			Total	449	100	34,713	100				394 100	45,188	100			
c. Evaluated what others	ORevaluate	1	Never	75	16	5,639	16				46 11	6,698	15			
have concluded from	Sicevaluate	2	Sometimes	181	41	14,529	42				148 37		38			
numerical information		2	Often	131	30	14,329	42 31	2.4	2.4	.03	148 37		33	2.7	2.5 ***	.20
		4	Very often	53	12	3,562	10	2.7	2.4	.05	88 23		15	<u></u> • 1	2.3	.20
		+	Total	446	100	3,502 34,607	100				392 100		100			
			1000	-++0	100	54,007	100				572 100	+5,040	100			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students						Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compariso	ons <sup>b</sup>	Frequenc	y D	istributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	ille	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie (	Class	UT Knoxvil	e	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording or description	Variable name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>
8. During the current sc	hool year, abo	ut how	often have you had	l discussions	with p	eople from t	the fol	lowing groups?									
a. People of a race or	DDrace	1	Never	11	3	1,011	3				9	2	1,741	4			
ethnicity other than		2	Sometimes	109	25	7,548	23				79	20	9,473	21			
your own		3	Often	131	31	11,412	33	3.1	3.1	.00	135	35	14,041	31	3.2	3.1	.05
		4	Very often	190	42	14,468	41				168	43	19,587	44			
			Total	441	100	34,439	100				391	100	44,842	100			
b. People from an	DDeconomic	1	Never	12	3	1,056	4				2	0	1,608	4			
economic background		2	Sometimes	82	18	7,333	22				74	19	9,316	21			
other than your own		3	Often	147	34	12,735	37	3.2	3.1 **	.15	148	38	16,062	35	3.2	3.1 **	.14
		4	Very often	201	45	13,262	38				165	43	17,787	40			
			Total	442	100	34,386	100				389	100	44,773	100			
c. People with religious	DDreligion	1	Never	16	4	1,436	5				11	3	2,118	5			
beliefs other than your		2	Sometimes	102	23	8,075	24				81	20	10,104	23			
own		3	Often	132	31	11,602	33	3.1	3.0	.09	131	34	14,973	33	3.2	3.1 *	.11
		4	Very often	191	42	13,280	38				167	43	17,585	39			
			Total	441	100	34,393	100				390	100	44,780	100			
d. People with political	DDpolitical	1	Never	6	1	1,841	5				7	2	2,591	6			
views other than your own		2	Sometimes	100	22	9,851	28				73	19	12,632	28			
own		3	Often	133	31	11,133	32	3.2	2.9 ***	.29	134	34	14,074	31	3.2	3.0 ***	* .29
		4	Very often	201	46	11,563	34				176	45	15,471	35			
			Total	440	100	34,388	100				390	100	44,768	100			
9. During the current sc	hool year, abo	ut how	often have you do	ne the followi	ng?												
a. Identified key	LSreading	1	Never	16	4	621	2				11	3	1,253	3			
information from		2	Sometimes	93	23	7,749	24				67	18	9,380	22			
reading assignments		3	Often	202	46	16,550	48	3.0	3.0	02	154	39	19,567	44	3.1	3.0 *	.13
		4	Very often	120	27	9,276	26				154	39	14,355	32			
			Total	431	100	34,196	100				386	100	44,555	100			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students					Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compariso	ons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency [	Distributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie (	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class
Item wording	Variable									Effect						Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	% 6	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count %	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
<ul> <li>Reviewed your notes after class</li> </ul>	LSnotes	1	Never	24		1,606	5				26 7	.,	8			
arter class		2	Sometimes	128	31	10,504	32				112 30	· · · ·	33			
		3	Often	145	33	12,301	36	2.9	2.9	.01	132 35	· · ·	33	2.8	2.8	.09
		4	Very often	135	30	9,759	27				115 28		26			
			Total	432	100	34,170	100				385 100	44,523	100			
c. Summarized what you	LSsummary	1	Never	25	6	2,121	7				27 7	3,454	8			
learned in class or from		2	Sometimes	143	34	10,803	32				110 30	14,286	32			
course materials		3	Often	160	37	13,269	39	2.8	2.8	.00	147 38	16,166	36	2.8	2.8	.07
		4	Very often	101	23	7,946	22				101 25	10,576	24			
			Total	429	100	34,139	100				385 100	44,482	100			
15. About how many ho	ours do vou sper	nd in a	typical 7-day week	doing the fol	lowing	g?						· · · ·				
a. Preparing for class	tmprephrs	0	0 hrs	0	0	117	0				0 0	173	0			
(studying, reading,	1 1	3	1-5 hrs	32	8	3,086	10				39 11	5,666	13			
writing, doing	(Recoded version of tmprep created	8	6-10 hrs	61	15	6,910	21				84 22		23			
homework or lab work,	by NSSE. Values	13	11-15 hrs	102	25	7,619	23				81 21		20			
analyzing data,	are estimated	18	16-20 hrs	77	20	6,884	21	16.9	15.3 ***	.20	72 19		18	15.6	15.1	.05
rehearsing, and other academic activities)	number of hours	23	21-25 hrs	67	16	4,207	12				42 11		11			
academic activities)	per week.)	28	26-30 hrs	30	7	2,071	6				30 9	,	6			
		33	More than 30 hrs	35	9	2,046	6				26 7	,	9			
			Total	404	100	32,940	100				374 100		100			
b. Participating in co-	tmcocurrhrs	0	0 hrs	111	28	8,163	26				125 33	,	35			
curricular activities	(Recoded version	3	1-5 hrs	138	33	11,470	34				132 35		30			
(organizations, campus	of tmcocurr	8	6-10 hrs	81	20	6,419	19				63 18	,	16			
publications, student	created by NSSE.	13	11-15 hrs	49	12	3,375	10				24 7		9			
government, fraternity	Values are	18	16-20 hrs	18	5	1,824	6	5.6	6.2	08	16 4	- ,	5	5.1	5.5	07
or sorority, intercollegiate or	estimated number	23	21-25 hrs	5	1	948	3		0.2		8 2	· · ·	3		0.0	
intramural sports, etc.)	of hours per	23	26-30 hrs	1	0	276	1				6 1	,	1			
initialiar oporto, oto.)	week.)	33	More than 30 hrs	2	1	395	1				1 0		2			
		55	Total	405	100	32,870	100				375 100		100			
			10(41	405	100	52,870	100				575 100	43,207	100			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students					Sei	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency [	Distributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie C	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class
Item wording	Variable									Effect						Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>		<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count %		%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
c. Working for pay	tmworkonhrs	0	0 hrs	321	80	25,613	79				244 66		68			
on campus	(Recoded version	3	1-5 hrs	24	5	1,053	3				21 6		4			
	of tmworkon	8	6-10 hrs	21	6	2,307	6				40 11		8			
	created by NSSE.	13	11-15 hrs	17	5	1,937	6				25 6	3,571	8			
	Values are estimated number	18	16-20 hrs	12	3	1,221	4	2.3	2.7	07	24 6	3,239	7	4.3	4.5	02
	of hours per	23	21-25 hrs	4	1	518	2				8 2	1,084	2			
	week.)	28	26-30 hrs	2	1	106	0				2 1	401	1			
		33	More than 30 hrs	2	0	156	1				9 2	626	2			
			Total	403	100	32,911	100				373 100	43,293	100			
d. Working for pay	tmworkoffhrs	0	0 hrs	311	78	24,488	74				180 49	20,587	48			
off campus	(Recoded version	3	1-5 hrs	13	3	1,463	4				18 5	2,336	5			
	of tmworkoff	8	6-10 hrs	23	5	1,657	5				34 9	2,939	6			
	created by NSSE.	13	11-15 hrs	23	6	1,643	5				28 7	3,205	7			
	Values are	18	16-20 hrs	17	4	1,581	5	3.1	3.9 *	10	50 14	4,021	9	8.9	10.3 *	12
	estimated number	23	21-25 hrs	12	3	1,034	3		$\nabla$		25 7	2,954	7		$\nabla$	
	of hours per week.)	28	26-30 hrs	3	1	472	2				17 5	1,939	4			
	week.)	33	More than 30 hrs	2	1	572	2				22 6	5,336	13			
			Total	404	100	32,910	100				374 100	43,317	100			
Estimated number of	tmworkhrs															
hours working for pay	(Continuous variable created by NSSE)							5.3	6.5 **	12				13.2	14.8 ** <b>V</b>	12
nours working for pay	variable created							5.3		12				13.2		



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	<b>Students</b>			Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparisons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency D	Distributions <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	ille	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording	Variable								Effect					Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values		Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean size <sup>e</sup>	Count %	Count %	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
<ul> <li>Doing community service or volunteer</li> </ul>	tmservicehrs	0	0 hrs	225	58	18,517	57			181 51	· ·			
work	(Recoded version	3	1-5 hrs	151	35	10,405	31			162 42	· ·			
	of tmservice	8	6-10 hrs	18	5	2,004	6			19 5	-,			
	created by NSSE. Values are	13	11-15 hrs	6	1	951	3	1.0		6 1	1,480 3	2.2		
	estimated number	18	16-20 hrs	1	0	525	2	1.9	2.5 ***13	1 0		2.2	3.0 ***	16
	of hours per	23	21-25 hrs	1	0	321	1		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	3 1	428 1		$\nabla$	
	week.)	28	26-30 hrs	3	1	67	0			0 0				
		33	More than 30 hrs	0	0	111	0			1 0				
		0	Total	405	100	32,901	100			373 100				
<li>f. Relaxing and socializing (time with</li>	tmrelaxhrs	0	0 hrs	5	1	429	1			14 4	<i>'</i>			
friends, video games,	(Recoded version	3	1-5 hrs	94	21	5,511	16			73 18	,			
TV or videos, keeping	of tmrelax created by NSSE. Values		6-10 hrs	101	25	8,906	26			122 33	· ·			
up with friends online,	are estimated	13	11-15 hrs	81	20	7,422	22	12.9	12.2 04	74 20	<i>'</i>	11.9	11.7	02
etc.)	number of hours	18	16-20 hrs	54	15	5,234	16	12.9	13.304	43 11	<i>'</i>	11.9	11.7	.02
	per week.)	23	21-25 hrs	23	6	2,353	7			19 5	,			
		28 33	26-30 hrs	8	2	967	3			8 2	· ·			
		33	More than 30 hrs Total	37	10 100	2,083	7			21 7 374 100	· ·			
g. Providing care for	tmcarehrs	0	0 hrs	403 364	90	32,905	100 82			374 100 297 81				
dependents (children,		3	1-5 hrs			27,175	82 8				· ·			
parents, etc.)	(Recoded version		6-10 hrs	16	4					22 6	<i>'</i>			
• • •	of tmcare created by NSSE. Values	8 13	11-15 hrs	11 6	2	1,082 780	3 2			22 6 2 1	1,756 4 1,135 3			
	are estimated	13	16-20 hrs	2	1	532	2	1.0	1.8 ***16	5 1	942 2	2.8	4.1 **	15
	number of hours	23	21-25 hrs	2	0	332 304	2	1.0	10	4 1	942 2 564 1	2.0	$\nabla$	13
	per week.)	23 28	21-25 nrs 26-30 hrs	1	0	304 77	0		V	4 1 2 0			V	
		28 33		0	1	301								
		35	More than 30 hrs Total	3 403	1 100	301 32,830	1 100			16 4 370 100	<i>'</i>			
			Total	403	100	32,830	100			570 100	43,221 100			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students				Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparisons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency [	Distributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compai	<b>isons</b> <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie Cla	ass	UT Knoxville	Carne	gie Class
Item wording or description h. Commuting to campus (driving, walking, etc.)	Variable name <sup>c</sup> tmcommutehrs (Recoded version of tmcommute created by NSSE. Values are estimated number of hours per week.)	Values 0 3 8 13 18 23 28 33	11-15 hrs 16-20 hrs 21-25 hrs 26-30 hrs More than 30 hrs	Count 180 147 51 12 4 3 2 5	% 44 36 13 3 1 1 1 1	Count 12,230 12,903 4,232 1,760 803 417 158 400	% 36 39 14 6 3 1 1 1	Mean 3.5	4.3 **14 ▼14	Count         %           33         9           253         68           61         16           12         3           5         1           5         1           0         0           4         1	23,871 7,855 2,663 1,039 486 209 687	% 15 54 19 6 3 1 1 2	Mean 4.5	5.4 5	Effect size <sup>e</sup>
16. Of the time you spe	end preparing for	r class	Total	404 week. about h	100 ow m	32,903 uch is on <i>ass</i>	100	reading?		373 100	43,289	100			
	reading	1 2 3 4 5	Very little Some About half Most Almost all Total	86 160 103 46 9 404	22 41 25 10 2 100	4,816 12,013 8,796 5,328 1,888 32,841	16 37 26 15 5 100	2.3	2.6 ***24	64 18 139 38 80 21 63 16 28 7 374 100	13,252 10,516 8,098 3,498	19 31 24 18 8 100	2.6	2.6	07
v 1 1	tmreadinghrs le created by NSSE. l on reading, where V alf=.50; Most=.75; 2	Very littl	e=.10; Some=.25;					5.8	6.3 *09				6.1	6.5	06



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students						Sei	niors		
				Frequen	cy D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical C	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequenc	y D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class	UT Knoxvil	le	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class
Item wording	Variable									Effect							Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
	tmreadinghrscol	1	0 hrs	0	0	115	0				0	0	170	0			
	(Collapsed version of tmreadinghrs	2	More than zero, up to 5 hrs	220	56	16,693	53				189	52	22,312	53			
	created by NSSE.)	3	More than 5, up to 10 hrs	122	30	9,899	29				124	33	12,111	28			
		4	More than 10, up to 15 hrs	33	8	3,193	9				35	9	4,042	9			
		5	More than 15, up to 20 hrs	16	3	1,513	4				10	2	2,292	5			
		6	More than 20, up to 25 hrs	10	2	930	3				13	3	1,482	3			
		7	More than 25 hrs	1	0	340	1				2	1	661	2			
			Total	402	100	32,683	100				373	100	43,070	100			

# Appendix B



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students						Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency	<b>y D</b> i	istributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	ille	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class	UT Knoxville	2	Carnegie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class
Item wording	Variable name <sup>c</sup>	Malaas	d D	6	%	6				Effect size <sup>e</sup>	Gunt	0/	Gaust				Effect size <sup>e</sup>
or description			<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count		Count	%	Mean	Mean	SIZE	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	SIZE
4. During the current sci a. Memorizing course	memorize	1 v mucn	Very little	гк empnasize 16	3	1,093	3				26	6	3,356	7			
material	memorize	2	Some	96	20	8,905	25					31	14,058	30			
		- 3	Quite a bit	239	47	16,784	46	3.0	2.9	.08	185	44	18,830	40	2.8	2.8	01
		4	Very much	143	29	9,676	26		2.0	100		20	10,958	23	210	2.0	101
			Total	494	100	36,458	100				423	100	47,202	100			
b. Applying facts,	HOapply	1	Very little	17	4	1,010	3				8	2	1,366	3			
theories, or methods to		2	Some	110	23	8,259	23				81	20	9,351	20			
practical problems or new situations		3	Quite a bit	201	41	17,352	47	3.0	3.0	.07	184	44	21,272	45	3.1	3.1	.06
new situations		4	Very much	161	32	9,687	26				148	34	15,072	32			
			Total	489	100	36,308	100				421	100	47,061	100			
c. Analyzing an idea,	HOanalyze	1	Very little	15	3	1,180	4				16	4	1,670	4			
experience, or line of reasoning in depth by		2	Some	127	26	9,141	26				87	21	10,560	23			
examining its parts		3	Quite a bit	201	42	16,400	45	3.0	2.9	.05	184	45	20,095	43	3.0	3.0	.02
5 1		4	Very much	138	29	9,338	25					31	14,417	31			
			Total	481	100	36,059	100				419		46,742	100			
d. Evaluating a point of	HOevaluate	1	Very little	26	6	1,555	5				28	7	3,072	7			
view, decision, or information source		2	Some	141	30	9,711	28				100	25	12,276	27			
		3	Quite a bit	200	43	16,054	44	2.8	2.8	07	177	42	19,062	40	2.9	2.8	.03
		4	Very much	109	21	8,572	23				112	26	12,144	26			
			Total	476	100	35,892	100				417		46,554	100			
<ul> <li>Forming a new idea or understanding from</li> </ul>	HOform	1	Very little	30	6	1,483	5				20	5	2,292	5			
various pieces of		2	Some	122	27	9,724	28	2.0	• •			26	12,078	27	2.0	• •	
information		3	Quite a bit	206	42	16,144	45	2.8	2.8	01	167	40	20,036	42	2.9	2.9	.04
		4	Very much	117	24	8,412	22				122	28	11,959	26			
			Total	475	100	35,763	100				414	100	46,365	100			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students						Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency	Distr	ibutio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	lle	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class	UT Knoxville	Car	negie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording or description	Variable name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>
5. During the current scl							70	Wean	Weun	3120	count	/0	count	70	wean	Mean	3126
a. Clearly explained	ETgoals	1 vilat ext	Very little	17	3	601	2				6	1	977	2			
course goals and		2	Some	106	23	7,405	22					19	8,409	19			
requirements		3	Quite a bit	228	47	17,011	47	3.0	3.0	09	179	14	21,243	45	3.1	3.1	.05
		4	Very much	116	26	10,532	29				147	36	15,455	34			
			Total	467	100	35,549	100				406 10	00	46,084	100			
b. Taught course sessions	ETorganize	1	Very little	18	3	1,042	3				12	3	1,567	4			
in an organized way		2	Some	94	19	7,926	23				72	18	9,259	20			
		3	Quite a bit	224	48	17,016	47	3.0	3.0	.07	185	47	21,233	46	3.1	3.0	.08
		4	Very much	128	30	9,508	27				135	33	13,957	31			
			Total	464	100	35,492	100				404 10	00	46,016	100			
c. Used examples or	ETexample	1	Very little	24	4	1,014	3				15	4	1,418	3			
illustrations to explain		2	Some	100	21	7,879	23				67	17	9,200	20			
difficult points		3	Quite a bit	208	45	16,054	45	3.0	3.0	01	148	36	19,730	42	3.2	3.1 **	.14
		4	Very much	133	30	10,444	29				173 4	43	15,598	34			
			Total	465	100	35,391	100				403 10	00	45,946	100			
d. Provided feedback on a	ETdraftfb	1	Very little	29	6	2,994	9				54	14	5,470	13			
draft or work in progress		2	Some	159	34	11,146	32				119	29	14,749	32			
progress		3	Quite a bit	183	38	13,231	37	2.7	2.7	.03			15,614	33	2.7	2.6	.07
		4	Very much	96	21	7,983	22						10,082	22			
			Total	467	100	35,354	100				403 10		45,915	100			
e. Provided prompt and	ETfeedback	1	Very little	52	11	3,429	10					10	4,076	9			
detailed feedback on tests or completed		2	Some	169	36	12,484	36						14,785	32			
assignments		3	Quite a bit	158	35	13,048	36	2.6	2.6	02			17,425	37	2.7	2.7	02
5		4	Very much	82	18	6,264	18					22	9,462	21			
			Total	461	100	35,225	100				399 10	00	45,748	100			



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yea	r Students					Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency I	Distributi	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	ille	Carnegie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording	Variable		4							Effect						Effect
or description			Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count %		%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
7. During the current						-		wing lengths have	you been	assigned? (						
a. Up to 5 pages	wrshortnum	0	None	20	4	2,016	6				27 7		7			
	(Recoded version	1.5	1-2	72	16	6,851	20				70 18		19			
	of wrshort created	4	3-5	168	39	11,551	33				91 23		29			
	by NSSE. Values are estimated	8	6-10	97	22	7,809	22	6.7	6.4	.06	115 30		21	7.5	7.2	.05
	number of papers,	13	11-15	45	10	3,502	10				35 9	,	10			
	reports, etc.)	18	16-20	23	5	1,390	4				24 6	,	5			
		23	More than 20	18	4	1,448	4				30 7	.,,	8			
			Total	443	100	34,567	100				392 100					
b. Between 6 and 10	wrmednum	0	None	130	29	11,706	35				108 29		26			
pages	(Recoded version	1.5	1-2	186	43	12,877	36				132 33		34			
	of wrmed created	4	3-5	79	18	6,386	18	• •			99 26		23			
	by NSSE. Values are estimated	8	6-10	36	8	2,313	7	2.3	2.3	01	37 10	,	11	2.8	3.3 **	12
	are estimatea number of papers,	13	11-15	4	1	837	2				6 2	1,665	4		$\nabla$	
	reports, etc.)	18	16-20	2	0	178	0				2 1	561	1			
	· · ·	23	More than 20	1	0	174	1				3 1	643	1			
			Total	438	100	34,471	100				387 100					
c. 11 pages or more	wrlongnum	0	None	349	79	26,084	75				200 51	21,729	49			
	(Recoded version	1.5	1-2	67	16	5,711	17				125 31	14,936	33			
	of wrlong created	4	3-5	15	4	1,305	4				44 12	4,661	10			
	by NSSE. Values	8	6-10	4	1	646	2	.6	.9 **	*14	15 4	1,829	4	1.6	1.9 *	09
	are estimated number of papers,	13	11-15	3	1	505	2		$\nabla$		1 (	920	2		$\nabla$	
	reports, etc.)	18	16-20	1	0	96	0		•		2 1	288	1		•	
	(cp0110, cici)	23	More than 20	0	0	147	0				3 1	511	1			
			Total	439	100	34,494	100				390 100	44,874	100			
Estimated number of assigned pages of	wrpages					- ,		46.6	51.3	07		,		68.5	76.3 *	08
student writing.	(Continuous variable NSSE from wrshort, are estimated pages	wrmed	, and wrlong. Values					1010	51.5	07				0012	<b>V</b>	00



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

				First	Yea	r Students					Ser	niors		
			Frequency	Distributi	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency [	) istributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>
			UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording	Variable	, d						Effect						Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values <sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	% Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count %	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
14. How much does your														
a. Spending significant	empstudy	1 Very little	-	1 489	2				7 2		2			
amounts of time studying and on		2 Some		4 6,090	19				79 21	· · · ·	19	2.1		
academic work		3 Quite a bit	209 5	· · · ·	49	3.2	3.1 *	.11	161 44	· · · ·	46	3.1	3.1	.00
		4 Very much		4 10,483	31				129 34	· · · ·	32			
		Total	410 10		100				376 100	,	100			
<ul> <li>b. Providing support to help students succeed</li> </ul>	SEacademic	1 Very little		3 905	3				16 4	,	6			
academically		2 Some		8 6,892	21	2.1			91 25	· · · ·	26	2.0		
uouuonnouny		3 Quite a bit		5 14,927	44	3.1	3.0	.07	181 48	· · · ·	43	2.9	2.9	.03
		4 Very much		4 10,557	31				89 23	.,	25			
		Total	408 10		100				377 100	43,709	100			
<ul> <li>c. Using learning support services (tutoring</li> </ul>	SElearnsup	1 Very little		5 1,449	4				35 10	· · · ·	9			
services, writing		2 Some		7 6,064	19	2.2			92 25	· · · ·	27	2.0		
center, etc.)		3 Quite a bit		7 13,472	40	3.2	3.1	.08	160 43	16,929	38	2.8	2.8	02
		4 Very much		2 12,279	37				88 23	· · · ·	25			
1 1		Total	409 10		100				375 100		100			
<ul> <li>Encouraging contact among students from</li> </ul>	SEdiverse	1 Very little		1 3,038	10				68 19	· · ·	16			
different backgrounds		2 Some		2 9,126					126 33	13,665	31			
(social, racial/ethnic,		3 Quite a bit		6 12,025	36	2.7	2.8 *	11	102 27	13,859	31	2.5	2.6	09
religious, etc.)		4 Very much		2 9,020	26		$\mathbf{\nabla}$		79 21	· · ·	22			
		Total	410 10	,	100				375 100	.,	100			
e. Providing opportunities	SEsocial	1 Very little	25	6 1,482	5				31 8		8			
to be involved socially		2 Some	93 2	3 7,210	22				83 22	11,454	26			
		3 Quite a bit	159 3	9 13,925	42	3.0	3.0	04	154 42	17,316	39	2.9	2.8	.05
		4 Very much	134 3	2 10,564	31				106 28	11,427	27			
		Total	411 10	0 33,181	100				374 100	43,585	100			
f. Providing support for	SEwellness	1 Very little	33	8 1,819	6				43 11	4,804	11			
your overall well-being		2 Some	115 2	9 7,410	22				124 32	11,925	27			
(recreation, health care, counseling, etc.)		3 Quite a bit	132 3	2 13,350	40	2.9	3.0 *	13	134 37	16,167	37	2.7	2.8 *	11



#### The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

						First-	Yeai	r Students					Sei	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency	Distributi	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording	Variable									Effect						Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options Very much	Count 129	% 31	Count 10,560	% 32	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>		6 Count 0 10,608	% 25	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
		4	Total	409	100	33,139	32 100		V		375 10	· · · ·	100		$\nabla$	
g. Helping you manage	SEnonacad	1	Very little	132	32	7,008	21				171 4	,	35	<u>.</u>		
your non-academic	DEnonacia	2	Some	152	38	12,633	38					3 15,760	36			
responsibilities (work,		- 3	Quite a bit	83	20	9,009	27	2.1	2.3 ***	25		8 8,369	19	1.8	2.0 ***	22
family, etc.)		4	Very much	42	10	4,427	13	2011	$\nabla$	25	16	4 3,957	9	1.0	$\nabla$	22
			Total	409	100	33,077	100		v		376 10	· · · ·	100		v	
h. Attending campus	SEactivities	1	Very little	26	7	2,149	7				22	6 5,501	13			
activities and events		2	Some	85	21	8,391	26					4 13,087	29			
(performing arts,		3	Quite a bit	155	38	13,367	40	3.0	2.9 *	.13	154	0 15,415	35	2.9	2.7 ***	.29
athletic events, etc.)		4	Very much	138	34	9,181	28				112	0 9,430	22			
			Total	404	100	33,088	100				376 10	0 43,433	100			
i. Attending events that	SEevents	1	Very little	65	16	4,481	14			,	75	9 8,575	20			
address important		2	Some	151	36	12,109	37				132	6 17,081	39			
social, economic, or political issues		3	Quite a bit	134	34	11,008	33	2.4	2.5	07	124	3 12,359	28	2.4	2.3	.03
pontical issues		4	Very much	55	13	5,473	16				42	1 5,430	13			
			Total	405	100	33,071	100				373 10	0 43,445	100			

# Appendix C



The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

													Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency	Distributi	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	ie Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording or description	Variable name <sup>c</sup>	Values	<sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>	Count %	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>
3. During the current sc	chool year, abo	ut how	often have you doi	e the followi	1g?											
a. Talked about career	SFcareer	1	Never	70	15	6,861	19				59 14	8,177	18			
plans with a faculty		2	Sometimes	246	49	16,267	44				181 42	19,212	40			
member		3	Often	121	23	9,258	24	2.3	2.3	.04	94 2	11,830	24	2.5	2.4 *	.12
		4	Very often	67	13	4,816	13				97 22	8,676	17			
			Total	504	100	37,202	100				431 100	47,895	100			
b. Worked with a faculty	SFotherwork	1	Never	247	48	17,081	47				154 30	5 19,048	41			
member on activities other than coursework		2	Sometimes	154	32	12,089	32				134 3	15,096	31			
(committees, student		3	Often	68	13	5,373	14	1.8	1.8	03	74 17	8,067	16	2.1	2.0 **	.15
groups, etc.)		4	Very often	32	6	2,471	7				68 10	5,494	11			
			Total	501	100	37,014	100				430 100	47,705	100			
c. Discussed course	SFdiscuss	1	Never	143	29	11,291	32				85 20	12,439	27			
topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty		2	Sometimes	246	50	16,171	44				201 47	20,199	42			
member outside of		3	Often	74	15	6,623	18	2.0	2.0	01	85 20	9,892	20	2.3	2.1 **	.13
class		4	Very often	34	7	2,615	7				54 13	4,897	10			
			Total	497	100	36,700	100				425 100	47,427	100			
d. Discussed your	SFperform	1	Never	117	23	9,284	26				85 20	) 11,725	26			
academic performance with a faculty member		2	Sometimes	241	50	17,021	46				189 44	21,370	45			
with a faculty melliber		3	Often	104	21	7,523	20	2.1	2.1	.01	105 25		20	2.3	2.1 **	.15
		4	Very often	33	6	2,808	8				46 1	4,474	9			
			Total	495	100	36,636	100				425 100	47,400	100			



The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

Soniors

												Sei	niors		
			Frequen	cy D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical C	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency D	Distributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>
			UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording	Variable	Values <sup>d</sup> Response options							Effect size <sup>e</sup>						Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>		Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size	Count %	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
10. During the current	challenge	what extent have your could not at all	irses challeng	ed yo	u to do your 302	best v	vork?			2 1	497	1			
	chanenge	2	3 4	1	302	1				3 1 13 4	715	2			
		2	4 19	5	1,543	5				13 4	2,202	2 5			
		4	45	11	3,944	12	5.5	5.4	.09	44 12		12	5.4	5.4	02
		5	126	30	12,016	35	2.2	5.4	.09	116 29		31	5.7	5.4	02
		6	124	29	8,891	25				99 26	- ,	25			
		7 Very much	101	23	6,946	20				95 24	· · ·	24			
		Total	422	100	33,967	100				384 100	44,235	100			
11. Which of the follow	ing have you d	one or do you plan to do b	efore vou gra	duate	? <sup>f</sup>										
a. Participate in an	intern	Have not decided	43	11	3,222	10				26 7	3,489	8			
internship, co-op, field	(Means indicate	D 1 1 1	12	3	1,435	5				51 13	- ,	15			
experience, student	the percentage		326	77	26,473	77	10%	8%	.04	82 21	10,077	23	59%	53% *	.12
teaching, or clinical placement	who responded	Done or in progress	43	10	2,796	8				223 59	24,243	53			
pintonioni	"Done or in progress.")	Total	424	100	33,926	100				382 100	44,300	100			
b. Hold a formal	leader	Have not decided	115	27	7,701	23				19 5	4,063	10			
leadership role in a	(Means indicate	Do not plan to do	76	19	6,154	19				171 45	17,846	41			
student organization or group	the percentage		168	39	15,670	45	15%	13%	.08	24 6	3,580	8	44%	41%	.06
8r	who responded "Done or in	Done or in progress	66	15	4,320	13				169 44	18,720	41			
	progress.")	Total	425	100	33,845	100				383 100	44,209	100			
c. Participate in a learning	learncom	Have not decided	113	27	9,705	29				33 8	5,028	12			
community or some	(Means indicate	Do not plan to do	151	34	9,883	30				233 61	24,407	56			
other formal program where groups of	the percentage		72	17	9,187	27	22%	15% ***	* .18	16 4	4,049	10	26%	23%	.06
students take two or	who responded	Done or in progress	88	22	4,961	15				101 26	10,602	23			
more classes together	"Done or in progress.")	Total	424	100	33,736	100				383 100	44,086	100			



The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

													Ser	niors		
			Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequenc	y D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>
			UT Knoxvi	lle	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class	UT Knoxvill	e	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class
Item wording	Variable								Effect							Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values <sup>d</sup> Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
d. Participate in a study	abroad	Have not decided	73	18	8,198	25				27	8	4,061	10			
abroad program	(Means indicate	Do not plan to do	106	27	7,228	23				245	65	27,854	64			
	the percentage	Plan to do	228	52	17,134	48	4%	4%	.01	23	6	3,064	7	22%	19%	.07
	who responded "Done or in	Done or in progress	17	4	1,192	4				88	22	9,136	19			
	progress.")	Total	424	100	33,752	100				383	100	44,115	100			
e. Work with a faculty	research	Have not decided	140	33	11,346	34				40	11	5,702	14			
member on a research	(Means indicate	Do not plan to do	89	20	7,289	21				154	40	21,208	48			
project	the percentage	Plan to do	170	40	13,288	39	6%	5%	.03	35	10	5,425	13	39%	26% ***	.28
	who responded	Done or in progress	26	6	1,820	5				153	39	11,781	26			
	"Done or in progress.")	Total	425	100	33,743	100				382	100	44,116	100			
f. Complete a culminating		Have not decided	125	30	10,337	32				34	9	3,384	9			
senior experience	(Means indicate	Do not plan to do	47	10	3,520	11				94	23	9,524	24			
(capstone course,	the percentage	Plan to do	247	59	19,236	55	1%	2%	06	70	19	10,226	24	50%	44% *	.10
senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam,	who responded	Done or in progress	4	1	615	2				183	50	20,907	44			
portfolio, etc.)	"Done or in	Total	423	100	33,708	100					100	44,041	100			
portiono, etc.)	progress.")				,							,				
12. About how many of	your courses at	this institution have inclu	ided a comm	unity-l	based proje	ct (ser	vice-learning)?									
·	servcourse	1 None	224	52	18,148	53	<u> </u>			172	45	19,610	46			
		2 Some	177	43	12,911	39				190	50	20,249	45			
		3 Most	16	4	2,041	6	1.5	1.6	03	17	4	3,334	7	1.6	1.6	06
		4 All	4	1	495	2				2	1	794	2			
		Total	421	100	33,595	100				381	100	43,987	100			



The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

											Ser	niors		
			Frequency	Distributi	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency D	istributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>
			UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class
Item wording	Variable	d						Effect						Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values <sup>d</sup> Response options		% Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count %	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
		ctions with the following												
a. Students	QIstudent	1 Poor	5	1 454					3 1	539	1			
		2		2 596					7 2		2			
		3		4 1,542 5 3,981					15 4 46 12	1,790 4,645	4 11			
		4	64 1 126 3			5.3	5.4	07	46 12 122 32		26	5.4	5.5	09
		6	1120 3			5.5	5.4	07	105 27	12,231	20 27	5.4	5.5	09
		7 Excellent		9 8,200					80 22		27			
		<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> </ul>		0 138					2 0		1			
		Total	417 10						380 100	43,982	100			
b. Academic advisors	QIadvisor	1 Poor		2 877					16 4		5			
		2	14	3 1,283	3 4				21 6		6			
		3	27	6 2,364	↓ 7				35 9	3,685	8			
		4	53 1	2 4,598	3 14				42 11	6,013	14			
		5	76 1	7 7,063	8 21	5.5	5.3 **	.13	83 22	8,473	19	5.1	5.1	.03
		6	101 2	5 7,227	21				80 20	8,387	19			
		7 Excellent	140 3	4 9,655	5 29				102 28	12,406	28			
		<ul> <li>— Not applicable</li> </ul>	0	0 449	2				1 0	358	1			
		Total	419 10						380 100	43,964	100			
c. Faculty	QIfaculty	1 Poor		1 455					0 0		2			
		2		3 805					8 2		2			
		3		4 1,883					28 8		5			
		4		4 4,860		5.2			39 11	5,952	13	<b>5</b> 4		
		5		3 9,641		5.3	5.3	.01	107 27	11,615	26	5.4	5.3	.05
		6 7 Excellent		9 9,160					113 31	12,406	28			
		/ Execution		6 6,414					85 21		23			
		<ul> <li>— Not applicable</li> <li>Total</li> </ul>		1 296 0 22.51/					0 0 380 100		1			
		Total	419 10	0 33,514	+ 100				380 100	43,935	100			-



The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

														Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency	Dist	tributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	ille	Carnegie Cl	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi		UT Knoxville	С	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	
Item wording	Variable	d								Effect							Effect
d. Student services staff	name <sup>c</sup> QIstaff		Response options Poor	Count 12	%	Count 954	<u>%</u> 3	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count 12	%	Count 1,778	<u>%</u> 4	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
(career services,	Qistan	2	1001	12	2	1,195	4				12	3	1,778	4			
student activities,		3		28	6	2,287	7				25	7	3,293	8			
housing, etc.)		4		63	13	4,951	15					14	6,610	15			
		5		97	25	7,867	23	5.2	5.1	.04		20	8,933	20	5.1	4.9 *	.11
		6		99	23	7,255	21		0.11			20	7,779	17	•••	,	
		7	Excellent	73	18	6,554	20					18	7,097	17			
			Not applicable	35	9	2,449	8					15	6,525	15			
			Total	417	100	33,512	100					00	43,931	100			
e. Other administrative	QIadmin	1	Poor	10	2	1,074	3				14	4	2,146	5			
staff and offices		2		16	4	1,343	4				15	4	2,321	5			
(registrar, financial aid,		3		23	5	2,541	8				26	7	3,992	9			
etc.)		4		66	16	5,309	16				54	14	7,306	16			
		5		102	25	7,694	23	5.1	5.0	.07	88	22	9,548	21	5.0	4.8 *	.11
		6		87	21	6,591	19				87	23	8,018	18			
		7	Excellent	68	16	5,744	18				56	15	7,057	17			
		_	Not applicable	44	11	3,205	10				40	11	3,519	8			
			Total	416	100	33,501	100				380 1	00	43,907	100			
17. How much has your	experience at	this instit	ution contribute	d to vour kno	wledg	e, skills, and	perso	nal development i	n the follo	wing areas	?						
a. Writing clearly and	pgwrite		Very little	45	11	2,790	9			0	14	4	2,717	7			
effectively		2	Some	115	30	9,864	31				83	23	10,073	24			
		3	Quite a bit	161	39	13,566	41	2.7	2.7	02	142	38	16,885	39	3.1	2.9 *	.13
		4	Very much	80	20	6,490	19				131	36	13,399	31			
			Total	401	100	32,710	100				370 1	00	43,074	100			
b. Speaking clearly and	pgspeak	1	Very little	63	16	4,133	13				23	7	3,479	8			
effectively			Some	127	32	10,791	33					24	10,787	25			
			Quite a bit	146	36	11,832	36	2.5	2.6	07		35	16,000	37	3.0	2.9 *	.11
			Very much	65	16	5,953	18					35	12,866	30			
			Total	401	100	32,709	100				370 1		43,132	100			



The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

													Sei	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	i <b>sons</b> <sup>b</sup>	Frequency	Distributi	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Comparis	ons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	lle	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	ie Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	e Class
Item wording	Variable									Effect						Effect
or description	name <sup>c</sup>	Values	d Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count %			Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
<ul> <li>c. Thinking critically and analytically</li> </ul>	pgthink	1	Very little	18	5	959	3					3 998				
anaryticarry		2	Some	83	21	6,427	20	2.0			39 1	.,		2.4		
		3	Quite a bit	159	39	14,811	44	3.0	3.1	01	118 3	,		3.4	3.3 *	.12
		4	Very much	141	35	10,538	32				204 5:	.,				
			Total	401	100	32,735	100				371 10					
<ul> <li>Analyzing numerical and statistical</li> </ul>	pganalyze	1	Very little	36	9	3,766	11					5 3,714				
information		2	Some	123	32	9,792	30				98 2:	,		•		
		3	Quite a bit	138	33	11,932	36	2.8	2.7	.07	107 29	,		3.0	3.0	.10
		4	Very much	105	27	7,226	23				143 40	,				
			Total	402	100	32,716	100				371 10	,				
e. Acquiring job- or work-	pgwork	1	Very little	57	14	4,311	13					9 3,997				
related knowledge and skills		2	Some	124	31	10,756	33				86 2.	,				
SKIIIS		3	Quite a bit	145	37	11,325	34	2.6	2.6	.01	139 3	8 14,420	33	2.9	2.9	.00
		4	Very much	75	19	6,334	19				113 30	) 14,314	33			
			Total	401	100	32,726	100				371 10	43,131	100			
f. Working effectively	pgothers	1	Very little	30	8	2,109	7				14	3 2,121	5			
with others		2	Some	116	29	9,138	28				77 22	2 9,123	22			
		3	Quite a bit	149	36	13,553	41	2.8	2.8	.02	144 3	9 16,560	38	3.1	3.0	.06
		4	Very much	107	27	7,885	24				135 3	5 15,345	35			
			Total	402	100	32,685	100				370 10	43,149	100			
g. Developing or	pgvalues	1	Very little	74	20	3,888	13				52 1:	5 5,306	13			
clarifying a personal		2	Some	106	26	9,727	30				92 2:	5 11,197	26			
code of values and ethics		3	Quite a bit	135	33	12,164	36	2.6	2.6	10	123 3	3 14,545	33	2.7	2.8	03
cuncs		4	Very much	86	21	6,903	21				102 2	7 12,056	28			
			Total	401	100	32,682	100				369 10	43,104	100			



The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

													Ser	niors		
				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compariso	ons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency [	Distributio	ons <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>
				UT Knoxv	ille	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegie	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie (	Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class
Item wording	Variable									Effect						Effect
or description h. Understanding people	name <sup>c</sup>	Values	d Response options	Count	% 16	Count 2,773	% 10	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>	Count % 49 14	Count 4,208	% 10	Mean	Mean	size <sup>e</sup>
of other backgrounds	pgdiverse	1	Very little Some	58 117	16 29	2,773 9,001	28				49 14 74 20		10 26			
(economic,		2				,		2.6		10		,		2.8	2.0	
racial/ethnic, political,			Quite a bit	142	35 20	12,466	37	2.0	2.8 ***	19	139 37 107 29	,	34	2.0	2.8	03
religious, nationality,		4	Very much	81		8,445	25		$\mathbf{\nabla}$			<i>,</i>	30			
etc.)			Total	398	100	32,685	100				369 100		100			
<ol> <li>Solving complex real- world problems</li> </ol>	pgprobsolve	1	Very little	51	12	3,434	11				36 10	· · · ·	9			
world problems		2	Some	127	33	10,773	33	2.5			96 26	· · · ·	27	2.0		
		3	Quite a bit	130	31	11,963	36	2.7	2.6	.02	123 33		35	2.9	2.8	.03
		4	Very much	91	23	6,520	20				116 31	· · · ·	29			
			Total	399	100	32,690	100				371 100					
<ol> <li>Being an informed and active citizen</li> </ol>	pgcitizen	1	Very little	70	19	3,694	12				53 15		14			
active citizen		2	Some	114	28	10,430	32				114 31		30			
		3	Quite a bit	139	34	11,797	35	2.5	2.6 *	11	114 30	· · · ·	31	2.6	2.7	03
		4	Very much	76	19	6,805	20		$\mathbf{\nabla}$		88 24	· · · ·	24			
			Total	399	100	32,726	100				369 100	43,154	100			
18. How would you eval	uate your enti	re educ	ational experience	at this institu	tion?											
	evalexp	1	Poor	10	2	551	2				8 2	1,096	3			
		2	Fair	69	17	3,876	12				46 13	5,165	12			
		3	Good	178	44	16,478	50	3.1	3.2	07	183 49	19,576	44	3.2	3.2	04
		4	Excellent	144	37	11,954	36				134 36	17,493	40			
			Total	401	100	32,859	100				371 100	43,330	100			
19. If you could start ov	er again, woul	d vou o	o to the same insti	tution you are	now	attending?										
197 II you could start of	sameinst	u jou g 1	Definitely no	17	4	1,028	3				28 8	1,875	5			
	sancinst	2	Probably no	53	11	3,719	11				52 14		12			
		2	Probably yes	174	46	13,918	42	3.2	2.2	06	134 36	,	39	3.1	2.2	11
		4						3.4	3.2	00		,		5.1	3.2	11
		4	Definitely yes Total	161	39 100	14,305	43 100				161 43 375 100	· · · ·	44			
			rotai	405	100	32,970	100				375 100	43,474	100			



The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

.

												Ser	niors		
			Frequenc	:y Di	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>	Frequency D	oistributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compari	sons <sup>b</sup>
			UT Knoxvil	le	Carnegie C	lass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class	UT Knoxville	Carnegie Cl	ass	UT Knoxville	Carnegi	e Class
Item wording or description <b>20. Do you intend to retur</b>	Variable name <sup>c</sup> r <b>n to this ins</b>	Values <sup>d</sup> Response options stitution next year? <sup>f</sup>	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>	Count %	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>e</sup>
(Means indicate the	returnexp percentage wi esponded "Yes.		13 376 17	3 93 4	1,178 29,949 1,915	4 90 6	93%	90%	.10						
		Total	406	100	33,042	100									